

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
SPARTANBURG DIVISION

United States of America,	)	C/A No.: 7:02-CR-248-20
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
Antonio Jeter,	)	ORDER
	)	(Written Opinion)
Defendant.	)	
	)	
	)	

---

**SECOND AMENDED ORDER**

The Court hereby amends its Amended Order to impose a sentence of time served as of March 14, 2008.

**18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) Order for Sentence Reduction**

This matter comes before the Court on the defendant's motion for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission (the Commission) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u).

**Background**

The defendant's motion is based on Amendment 706 to the United States Sentencing Guideline (U.S.S.G.) § 2D1.1(c). This amendment adjusts the base offense level assigned to each threshold quantity of cocaine base, or "crack cocaine," downward by two levels. U.S.S.G. App. C, Amend. 706 (Nov. 1, 2007). On December 11, 2007, the Commission voted to add this amendment to the list of

amendments in U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10(c) that may be applied retroactively. As this amendment became effective on March 3, 2008, this matter is now ready for disposition.

### Discussion

The defendant was originally sentenced on December 10, 2002, with the following sentencing range:

Total Offense Level: 23

Criminal History Category: V

Months Imprisonment: 84-105

Taking into consideration these guidelines, the Court originally sentenced the defendant to 84months. This sentence was within the range indicated by the guidelines. Further, the defendant's sentence was not reduced pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1, Rule 35, or a variance under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), and it was rendered before the Supreme Court's decision in *United States v. Booker*, 543 U.S. 220 (2005).

After taking into account the amendments to § § 1B.10 & 2D1.10, the Court finds that the amended sentencing range should be:

Total Offense Level: 21

Criminal History Category: V

Months Imprisonment: 70-87


This Court will not consider any guideline decision from the previous sentencing that was unaffected by the recent amendment. U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10(b)(1).

### Conclusion

Having calculated and considered the revised sentencing guidelines, specifically the provisions of §§ 2D1.1(c) and 1B1.10, effective March 3, 2008, and having also considered the relevant statutory sentencing factors contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and the advisory nature of the Sentencing Guidelines, it is the judgment of the Court that the defendant's previously imposed sentence be reduced to a sentence of TIME SERVED as of March 14, 2008. If this revised sentence *is less than* the amount of time the defendant has already served, the sentence is reduced to a "Time Served" sentence. See U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10(b)(2)(C) ("In no event may the reduced term of imprisonment be less than the term of imprisonment the defendant has already served."). Except as provided by this Order, all provisions of the defendant's previous sentence dated December 10, 2002, remain in full force.

IT IS THEREFORE SO ORDERED THAT the defendant's previously imposed sentence be reduced to a sentence of TIME SERVED as of March 14, 2008.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



---

G. ROSS ANDERSON, JR.  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

March 27, 2008  
Anderson, South Carolina

**NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL**

Pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, the parties have the right to appeal this Order within sixty (60) days from the date of its entry. Failure to meet this deadline, as modified by Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, **will waive the right to appeal.**